

## Interdepartmental Biochemistry Program Preliminary Examinations Evaluation

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Prelim Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Part I. Evaluation of examination components

Please evaluate the student on a scale of 0-6, as described below.

- 6 = Truly Outstanding.** Best work the committee has seen in several years.
- 5 = Excellent.** Top 10% among students you have seen; few if any weaknesses.
- 4 = Very Good**
- 3 = Good**
- 2 = Marginal**
- 1 = Poor**
- 0 = Very poor**

**A) Written proposal.**

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

How well is the proposal written?

**Comments:**

**B) Written exam.**

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**C) Seminar**

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**D) Oral Exam**

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**Part II. Evaluation of overall student progress.** Students must pass each of these components to be admitted to Candidacy for the Ph.D. degree. A student may make terrific progress in some areas, with glaring weaknesses in others.

Please evaluate the student on a scale of 0-6, as described below. *For any areas with a score < 3, please suggest steps student needs to take to overcome this deficiency.*

**6 = Truly Outstanding.** Best work the committee has seen in several years.

**5 = Excellent.** Top 10% among students you have seen; few if any weaknesses.

**4 = Very Good.** Clearly at the desired level for a 3<sup>rd</sup> year student.

**3 = Good.** Meets the desired standards, but has weaknesses to address.

**2 = Marginal.** Some strengths, but major weaknesses.

**1 = Poor.** Clearly not at level of Ph.D. Candidate.

**0 = Very poor.** Little to no progress in this area.

**A) Research Progress.** Has the student been sufficiently productive in the lab that it is likely s/he will earn a Ph.D. in the next 30-36 months? Is the quality of the work sufficient for publication?

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**B) Understanding of Research Project.**

- Has the student taken intellectual ownership of his/her research project?
- Does the student understand project goals in detail?
- Does s/he understand the background literature in the field?
- Does s/he understand not just the conclusions that other researchers have drawn, but also the experiments leading to those conclusions?
- Can the student plan and execute experiments independently?
- Are the breadth and depth of the student's understanding adequate?

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**C) Plan for Completion of the Ph.D. degree.**

- Is the proposed work significant?
- Has feasibility been demonstrated? If not, in what time frame do you expect key preliminary experiments to be completed?
- Is the proposed work appropriately focused? If not, which directions are most important to pursue?
- Is the proposed work likely to result in a high-quality Ph.D. thesis in 30-36 months?

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**D) Biochemical Literacy.**

- Does the student have a sufficiently deep understanding of basic biochemistry and related fields?
- Does the student read broadly in high-impact journals?
- Does the student attend seminars and think about the ideas and results presented?

**Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

**Signed** (Electronic signature acceptable):

**Chair**

**Committee Member**

**Committee Member**